# Case Studies of Sediment Removal and Remediation -Data and Lessons

EPA Sediments Forum Washington, DC, May, 2001

Ash Jain
ajain@EPRI.com; 908.253.8909
Manager, Remediation Studies
Electric Power Research Institute



#### **General**


- All 4 sites impacted by coal tar (PAH/MAHs)
- Small to medium size sites -- provided opportunities for valuable learning
- Work-in-progress
  - sites added as data is available
  - data synthesis will be presented in multimedia format (text, photos, videos, audio and music)
  - CD will be available from EPRI distribution in 2001




# Laconia, NH (1999-2001) -- Mixed Remedy

- Source control: Barrier wall; soil and gas holder removed, 60 K gal GW & 200 gal DNAPL removed
- 17 K t sediment (75% of Contaminants)
- 215 K gal water removed
- 6.5 K t capping material
- Cost -- \$4.5MM (Sediments)
- Cable-arm, clam-shell, enclosed bucket and conventional equipment used









- (1) TOP 2 FEET CONTAINS AN ESTIMATED 75% OF TOTAL CONTAMINATED SEDIMENTS IN RIVER.
- (2) WATER DEPTHS GREATER THAN 5 FEET CONSIDERED UNLIKELY EXPOSURE PATHWAY FOR HUMAN HEALTH RISK.

#### PROBABILITY MAPS OF TARRY SEDIMENTS **DISTRIBUTION BY 1 FOOT LEVELS MESSER STREET MGP SITE** 0-1 foot 1-2 foot **2-3 foot** Level 3-4 foot Level 4-5 foot **Probability Contour Intervals** Level 5-6 foot 0.75 0.90

#### Messer Street Site RECOMMENDED REMEDIAT






**Stabilize Only** 



**Dredge/Stabiliz** 



**Dredge Only** 



**NO SCOUR** 

### Columbia, PA, NPL Site (1998-1999) --- Removal to ERL levels

Source Control: No active current source

 Area Isolated with Porta-dam, 750 T sediments excavated (Conventional), 850 kgal water treated

Cost -- \$0.5MM (Sediments)




### Holyoke, MA (1996) -- Hydraulic Dredging Effectiveness Pilot

Removed 12.4 T of sediment / coal tar

- Hydraulic dredging ineffective due to:
  - presence of cobbles, rocks, debris, swift current
  - -coal tar/sediments form a hard, asphalt-like conglomerate at bottom



### Site Map and Area of Concern



# Salem, MA -- In-Situ bioremediation (1998, 99 - )

Source Control: Barrier Wall

In-Situ bioremediation of permeable layer

Currently assessing results


Decreased PAH's, No significant washout



### Site Map and Area of Concern



**Area of Concern** 



#### **Overall Lessons - 1**

- Source control necessary
- Environmental dredging is more complex, costly and time-consuming than generally anticipated. And it doesn't work everywhere.
  - Performance based criteria provides incentives
  - Complete removal to Depth? / Level? may not be necessary, if objectives are defined clearly
  - Water is not our "friend"



#### **Overall Lessons - 2**

Define achievable objectives clearly

• **Innovate** (e.g. biotreatment, in-situ residuals management, drawdown extension, mixed remedy)

#### Positive Community Relations

- Use site as a learning experience
- Odor Control (tent, air monitoring)



#### ... More Lessons

#### Environmental dredge issues

-Productivity, use in hard sediments, debris

#### Turbidity

– Differences between Cable-arm, clam-shell, and enclosed bucket for atleast sandy soils may not be as significant considering operational issues?



#### Results

- Laconia Fishable-swimmable condition achieved
- Columbia Site closure achieved
- Holyoke Hydraulic dredging is impractical for coal tar removal (protection of endangered species).
- Salem Assessing decrease in contaminants.



#### Future...

- Long-term assessment
  - Maintaining fishable-swimmable / other conditions
  - Assessment chemistry, biology, indicator parameters, presence of signature metabolites?
- More turbidity data/operational comparisons between environmental dredges and enclosed buckets needed?
- Are we getting better over time!?
- Was removal to ERL levels needed?



#### Acknowledgments (alphabetical order)

#### Co-Sponsors:

 Consolidated Edison, National Grid, Northeast Utilities, PPL, and Public Service Electric and Gas.

#### Contractors:

 Clean Sites, Golder Associates, Haley & Aldrich, Maxymillian, Menzie-Cura Associates, Metcalf & Eddy, OHM, Parsons, Retec

